

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/701,456		11/27/2000	George Friedman	1242-00	9946	
35811	7590	09/22/2004		EXAM	INER	
IP DEPARTMENT OF PIPER RUDNICK LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE, SUITE 4900				CALLAHA	CALLAHAN, PAUL E	
1650 MARKET ST PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2137			

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{I})$					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/701,456	FRIEDMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Paul Callahan	2137					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 h	lovember 2000.						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 3-9,11,13 and 15 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,10,12 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers	•	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 November 2000 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.  S. Bright and Todornoth Office.	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/701,456

Art Unit: 2137

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-15 are pending in this application and have been examined.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 10, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Winiger US 5,845,068.

As for claim 1, Winiger teaches a port blocking method for securing data (abstract) comprising: a port request detection step of detecting a port request for use of a port sent by a process (col. 6 lines 30-40); a process identification step of determining the identity of said requesting process (col. 6 line 38-42); a process check step of determining if said process should be permitted to access said port (col. 6 lines 30-50); and a permit/deny step of allowing said port request to be fulfilled if said process should be permitted to access said port and denying said port request if said process should not be permitted to access said port (col. 6 lines 30-50).

Application/Control Number: 09/701,456

Art Unit: 2137

As for claim 2, Winiger teaches the method of claim 1 where said process check step comprises: a secure process list check step of determining whether said process appears on a list of secure processes (col. 8 lines 30-55).

As for claim 8, Winiger teaches a port blocking system (abstract) wherein said port blocking system operates to detect a port request for use of a port sent by a process (col. 6 lines 30-50); determine the identity of said requesting process (col. 6 lines 38-42); determine if said process should be permitted to access said port (col. 6 lines 30-50); and allow said port request to be fulfilled if said process should be permitted to access said port and deny said port request if said process should not be permitted to access said port (col. 6 lines 30-55).

As for claim 10, Winiger teaches a secured data transmission system (abstract) having a port blocking system which operates to detect a port request for use of a port sent by a process (col. 6 lines 30-50); determine the identity of said requesting process (col. 6 lines 38-42); determine if said process should be permitted to access said port (col. 6 lines 30-50); and allow said port request to be fulfilled if said process should be permitted to access said port and deny said port request if said process should not be permitted to access said port (col. 6 lines 30-55).

As for claim 12, Winiger teaches a computer comprising a communications port and configured to protect secure data by including a port blocking system (abstract) which operates to detect a port request for use of a port sent by a process (col. 6 lines

Application/Control Number: 09/701,456

Art Unit: 2137

30-50); determine the identity of said requesting process (col. 6 lines 38-42); determine if said process should be permitted to access said port (col. 6 lines 30-50); and allow said port request to be fulfilled if said process should be permitted to access said port and deny said port request if said process should not be permitted to access said port (col. 6 lines 30-50).

As for claim 14, the claim represents the computer program product embodied in a memory medium that when executed causes the apparatus of claim 12 to carry out the operation steps of that claim. Therefore claim 14 s rejected on the same basis as claim 12.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 3-9, 11, 13, and 15 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not teach a port blocking system that; tracks an open port request, determines whether the identity and permission of the requesting process/application, and which additionally logs a close port request from the process/application.

Art Unit: 2137

### Conclusion

Page 5

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following US patent documents teach systems of port access control similar to that of the applicant:

Belville et al.

5,828,833

Schneider et al.

6,105,027

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul E. Callahan whose telephone number is (703) 305-1336. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell, can be reached on (703) 306-3036. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is: (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

9/14/04

Paul Collabor